THE HERPETOFAUNA OF THE LOWER HYDROGRAPHICAL BASIN OF CRISUL ALB, THE DISTRICT OF ARAD (ROMANIA)

SEVERUS-DANIEL COVACIU-MARCOV, ALFRED-ŞTEFAN CICORT-LUCACIU, VIOREL LAZĂR, NOÉMI SZEIBEL, LAVINIA BALAJ

Abstract. We have studied the geographical spread of the herpetofauna in 42 places from the lower hydrographical basin of the river Crisul Alb, in the district of Arad. In this region we have identified 14 species of amphibians: *Salamandra salamandra, Triturus alpestris, Triturus dobrogicus, Triturus cristatus, Triturus vulgaris, Bombina bombina, Bombina variegate, Pelobates fuscus, Bufo bufo, Bufo viridis, Hyla arborea, Rana ridibunda, Rana dalmatina, Rana temporaria,* as well as 9 reptile species: *Emys orbicularis, Lacerta agilis, Lacerta viridis, Podarcis muralis, Anguis fragilis, Elaphe longissima, Coronella austriaca, Natrix natrix, Natrix tesselata*, and hybrids between *Bombina bombina* and *Bombina variegata*. We have also identified populations of *Rana* kl. esculenta.

Key words: herpetofauna, lower basin of Crişul Alb

Rezumat. Herpetofauna bazinului inferor al Crișului Alb, județul Arad, România. Am studiat răspândirea geografică a herpetofaunei în 42 de localități din bazinul hidrografic inferior al râului Crișul Alb din județul Arad. În regiune am identificat 14 specii de amfibieni: Salamandra salamandra, Triturus alpestris, Triturus dobrogicus, Triturus cristatus, Triturus vulgaris, Bombina bombina, Bombina variegata, Pelobates fuscus, Bufo bufo, Bufo viridis, Hyla arborea, Rana ridibunda, Rana dalmatina, Rana temporaria, 9 specii de reptile: Emys orbicularis, Lacerta agilis, Lacerta viridis, Podarcis muralis, Anguis fragilis, Elaphe longissima, Coronella austriaca, Natrix natrix, Natrix tessellata și hibrizi între Bombina bombina și Bombina variegata, precum și populații de Rana kl. esculenta.

Cuvinte cheie: herpetofaună, bazinul inferior, Crișul Alb

INTRODUCTION

Sporadic data about the herpetofauna of the Arad district can be found in several books from *Fauna R.P.R.*, about amphibians and reptiles (Fuhn, 1960, Fuhn & Vancea, 1961). In the last decade, two scientific books have been published. These refer either to amphibians or the herpetofauna as a whole, including also some regions from the district (Cogalniceanu et al., 2000, Ghira et al., 2002, Iftime, 2005).

Two articles referring explicitly to the herpetofauna of some regions from Arad district have been published recently (COVACIU-MARCOV et al., 2005 a, 2006 a). The importance of the study concerning the structure and the geographical spread of the herpetofauna is very important, as this is the starting point in protecting the amphibians and the reptiles (GHIRA et al., 2002). In Romania, the geographical spread of the herpetofauna continues to be unknown, as compared to the western Europe (GASC et al., 1997), despite the fact that in the latest years a series of studies over this issue have been carried out (ANDREI, 2002, COVACIU-MARCOV et al., 2000, 2002, 2003 a, b,c, 2004, 2005 a, b, 2006 a, b, GHIRA et al., 2002, GHIURCA et al., 2005, GHERGHEL & ILE, 2006, Lazar et al., 2005, STRUGARIU et al., 2006 a, b). In this way our objective has been to establish the structure and the geographical herpetofauna of the lower hydrographical basin Crisul Alb in the district of Arad.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The region under question is located in the center-western of Arad district, overlapping the lower hydrographical basin of the river Crisul Alb, in the downstream area of Ineu. Most of the region is made of fields, belonging to the lower field of the river Cris, and in the south-eastern part there is a sector of the Zarandului Mountains. The maximum altitude in the area is 798 m, on the peak Highis (TUFESCU, 1986) The most important tributary of Crisul Alb here is the Cigher, which drains a large part of the northern slope of the Zarandului Mountains (FEY et al., 2001).

The study has been made between 2002 and 2006. We have observed the herpetofauna from 42 regions (table 1), and each of it supposing trips in different biotopes. The method consists in some visual crosswise lines (COGALNICEANU, 1997). The animals have been established directly most of the times, without catching them. We examined them directly (BROWN, 1997) and when it came to catching some types, our team used bare hands. In the aquatic period the amphibians have been caught with a square rake, or using a round net, on long metal sticks. After being established, the animals were released in their original biotopes.

The hybrids were established by the morphological or chromatical characters, using the main characters or surveys from the scientific literature (BERGER, 1966, 1973, COGALNICEANU et al., 2000, GHIRA & MARA, 2000, STUGREN, 1980, SZYMURA, 1993). An important role in establishing the geographical spread of the herpetofauna, was played by finding and observing a few dead animals, either killed by the local people or run over by cars.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In the region in question we have identified a total of 23 species of the herpetofauna: 14 amphibians (Salamandra salamandra, Triturus alpestris, Triturus dobrogicus, Triturus cristatus, Triturus vulgaris, Bombina bombina, Bombina variegata, Pelobates fuscus, Bufo bufo, Bufo viridis, Hyla arborea, Rana ridibunda, Rana dalmatina, Rana temporaria) and 9 reptile species: (Emys orbicularis, Lacerta agilis, Lacerta viridis, Podarcis muralis, Anguis fragilis, Elaphe longissima, Coronella austriaca, Natrix natrix, Natrix tesselata). Along with the 22 species, we have also identified in the region 2 hybrid categories between certain amphibian species. In this way, a lot of hybrids between Bombina bombina and Bombina variegata are to be seen in the area, as well as groups of Rana kl. esculenta – hybrids between Rana ridibunda and Rana lessonae. Both of the hybrid categories, as well as Lacerta viridis, have been identified for the first time in the respective area.

We have analyzed the spread of the 23 species and 2 hybrid forms of the herpetofauna in the 42 places of the region in question. We have identified 290 places for the respective species we observed in the above 42 areas (table 1), and among these, 232 places are considered new for Romania's herpetofauna.

Salamandra salamandra (LINNAEUS, 1758) is a rare species in the region we have analyzed. We have noticed few types, originating in a small number of places. This is because there are few high wood areas here, which are specific to these types. The species is present in the wood areas, 200 m altitude and upper.

Triturus alpestris (LAURENTUS, 1786) is a rare species, being identified in only one place, Naidas. It lives mostly in the high area, at 400 m and even upper, although in other western areas in Romania it can be found at lower altitudes, even 150 m (COVACIU-MARCOV et al., 2006 a).

Triturus cristatus (LAURENTI, 1768) lives mostly in the hill and high areas in the south-eastern region. It lives in area higher than 150 m, and also on uneven relief, which is common to western Romania (COVACIU-MARCOV et al., 2003 a, b, 2005 a,b, 2006 a). Although it does not have a very large territory, the populations taken into account are formed of several types.

Triturus dobrogicus (KIRITZESCU, 1903), as opposed to the former species, lives in an area having several spreadings, namely in the field area of the lower hydrographical basin of Crisul Alb. It lives only at altitudes lower than 150m, areas having a plain relief. In certain situations, as Ineu for instance, it can reach the hills' lower limit, but only if the contact between these and the field is a sudden one. The populations are generally not very large, because of the radical anthropi change of the field area. Usually *Triturus dobrogicus* is to be found in the canals or the hitches near the roads. We have not identified hybrids between the two species of comb tritons in the area, although such populations have been seen previously in other places from Arad district (COVACIU-MARCOV et al., 2006 a). This is due perhaps to the steep way of contact between hills and fields — in the region under study, which has the function of a barrier between the areas of the two species. This practically does not allow other intermediary species.

Triturus vulgaris (LINNAEUS, 1785) is the most common Urodele species in the region in question. It lives in the filed but also in the mountains. It can be found in every kind of aquatic biotope, from the canals or the hitches near the roads up to temporary puddles in the forest areas.

Bombina bombina (LINNAEUS, 1761) lives mostly in the field area of the region in question. It can be practically found in every aquatic biotope, and especially in the spring one can notice it in the temporary puddles on the farmers' fields. It does not live in the higher areas of the region we studied, as its altitude limit is 150 m. This is common to the general situation from other places in western Romania (COVACIU-MARCOV et al., 2000, 2002, 2003 b, 2004, 2005 a, b, 2006 a), but its presence is lower than in other places in the country, for instance Transylvania (GHIRA et al., 2003), Moldavia (STRUGARIU et al., 2006 b) or Dobrogea (COVACIU-MARCOV et al., 2006 a).

Bombina variegata (LINNAEUS, 1758) is well represented in the hilly and mountains area of the region under study, living in an area having several spreadings. This is different from other species of the same gene. Generally, the lower altitude limit of the species is 150 m, but it can happen for this to reach 140m as well. In this way, the two species' spread depends on the same altitude factors as in west of the Apuseni Mountains in general.

Bombina bombina X Bombina variegata. The hybrids between the two Bombina species are rare in this region. We have met only one hybrid population, near Dud village, between the field and Nadasului Hills, at an altitude of 150 m, the same altitude as other hybrid populations' from western Romania (COVACIU-MARCOV et al, 2000, 2002, 2003 a,b, 2004, 2005 a,b). The hybrids were found in the puddling area. At the level of a permanent brook. Unfortunately, because there is a village in the vicinity, the biotope is very polluted with litter.

Pelobates fuscus (LAURENTI, 1768) is relatively rare in the area under study. The species has been identified only in 10 villages situated solely in the field area, but not very far from the hilly area. This fact, along with the species' rarity in the field area, can be considered a consequence of the field area's anthropic change. Thus, the field from the lower hydrographic basin of Crisul Alb is almost completely cleared and drained, being cut by a series of canals that drain the water quickly. In this way, the species lacks its normal reproduction biotope. However, at the hills' edge, the hydrographical net is more developed, the humidity level is higher, which allows the digging frogs' survival.

Bufo bufo (LINNAEUS, 1758) is almost exclusively spread in the high hilly and mountain area, where is quite common. It is very rare in the field area, and in general one can see it only at the edge between this one and the high relief, as described above, at the former species. In point of reproduction, this takes place in the ditches near the roads. Nevertheless, the massive forest clear has led to a smaller after-laying area.

	S	T a	T d	T c	T v	B b	B v	B b X B	P f	B u f	B u v	H a	R r	R e	R d	R t	E o	L a	L v	P m	A	C a	E l	N n	N t
								v																	
Agrişu Mare	-	-	-	-	X	-	X	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arăneag	X	-	-	X	X	-	X	-	-	X	X	X	-	-	X	X	-	-	X	-	X	X	-	-	-
Camna	-	-	-	-	X	-	X	-	-	X	X	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-
Caporal Alexa	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	X	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chier	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	-	X	X	-	-	X	-	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	X	-
Chişinău Criş	-	-	X	О	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	О	S	-	X	-	-	S	-	-	-	-	-	S	О
Cintei	-	-	X	-	X	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cuied	X	-	-	X	X	-	X	-	-	X	-	X	X	-	X	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	X	-
Curtici	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dud	-	-	-	X	X	X	X	X	-	X	X	-	X	X	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	X	-
Drauț	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-
Galşa	-	-	-	-	X	X	X	-	X	X	X	-	X	-	X	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	X	-
Grăniceri	-	-	-	1	-	X	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-
Hodiş			-	X	X		X	-	-	1	1		-	-	X	-	-	S		-	-	-	-		-
Iercoșeni	ı		-	X	X		X	-	-	X	-	X	-	-	X	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	X	ı
Ineu			X	О	S	S	-	-	X	О	О	S	S	X	S	-	S	X	-	-	О	-	-	S	
Luguzău	-	-	-	X	X	-	X	-	-	X	X	X	-	-	X	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	X	-
Macea	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Măderat	-	-	-	-	X	X	X	-	-	X	S	О	S	-	S	-	-	S	-	-	-	-	-	S	-
Mâsca	-	-	-	-	X	X	X	-	X	X	X	-	X	-	X	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-
Minişu de Sus	X	-	-	X	X	-	X	-	-	X	X	X	-	-	X	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	X	X
Minişel	X	-	-	X	X	-	X	-	-	X	-	X	-	-	X	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	X	-
Mocrea	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	-	X	X	-	-	X	X	X	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	X	-
Nădab	-	-	X	О	S	S	-	-	-	О	X	-	S	-	-	-	-	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	О
Nădaş	S	S	-	X	S	-	S	-	-	S	X	S	X	-	S	S	-	X	X	X	S	S	О	X	X
Olari	-	-	X	-	S	X	-	-	-	-	О	О	X	-	-	-	О	-	-	-	-	-	-	О	-
Pâncota	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	-	X	X	X	-	X	-	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pilu	-	-	X	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	О	О	X	-	-	-	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Satu Mic	-	-	-	_	X	-	-	-	-	X	X	_	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sântana	-	-	-	_	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Seleus	-	-	X	О	S	X	-	-	X	-	X	-	X	-	-	-	О	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sintea Mică	-	_	X	-	X	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Socodor	-	-	X	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	X	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	X	-
Şiclău	_	-	X	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	0	-	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	_
Sicula	-	-	X	-	S	S	-	-	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	X	-
Silindia	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	X	X	-	X	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	X	-
Şimand	-	-	-	-	X	S	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
,	-	-	-	0	S	X	X	-	X	X	X	X	X	-	X	-	-	S	X	0	-	0	X	S	-
Şiria	-	-	-	S	S	-	S	-	0	S	S	S	S	-	S	0	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	S	S
Tăuț	-	-	-	X	X	X	-	-	-	X	X	-	X	-	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	X	-
Târnova			S		X	X		-				-	X	-				-				-		- A	
Vărşand	-	-	X	-			-	-	-	- V	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-
Zărand	-	-		- 1	S	X			-	X	0	-	-	-	-		-		- 1	-	-	-	-	0	-
ΣΧ	4	-	1 2	1 0	2 8	2 2	1 4	1	1 0	2	2	8	2	3	2	1	2	1 8	1 2	1	1	1	1	1 5	2
ΣS	1	1	1	1	1 0	5	2	-	-	2	3	3	6	-	4	1	2	7	-	-	1	1	-	6	1
ΣΟ	-	_	-	5	-	-	-	-	1	2	5	4	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	1	1	1	1	2	2

Table 1. Distribuțion of the amphibian and reptile species in the studied region **Tabel 1.** Distribuția speciilor de amfibieni și de reptile în regiunea cercetată

 $X-new\ localities,\ S-reconfirmed,\ O-signaled\ but\ don't\ reconfirmed$

Ss=Salamandra salamandra, Ta=Triturus alpestris, Td=Triturus dobrogicus, Tc=Triturus cristatus, Tv=Triturus vulgaris, Bb=Bombina bombina, Bv=Bombina variegata, BbXBv= Bombina bombinaXBombina variegata, Pf=Pelobates fuscus, Buf=Bufo bufo, Buv=Bufo viridis, Ha=Hyla arborea, Rr=Rana ridibunda, Re=Rana esculenta, Rd=Rana dalmatina, Rt=Rana temporaria, Eo=Emys orbicularis, La=Lacerta agilis, Lv=Lacerta viridis, Pm=Podarcis muralis, Af=Anguis fragilis, El=Elaphe longissima, Ca=Coronella austriaca, Nn=Natrix natrix, Nt=Natrix tessellata

Bufo viridis (LAURENTI, 1768) it a well-represented species in this region, living mostly in the high areas. Unlike the former population, it prefers the field areas which are very changed anthropically. Larger groups are to be seen at the western limit of the Zarandului Mountains, where this meets the field.

Hyla arborea (LINNAEUS, 1758) is well represented in the hilly and mountainous forest areas. We have not identified any population in the field area, where this species' biotopes have practically disappeared because of the anthropic activities. In this way, the fields lack forests and permanent swamp areas almost completely. The species can be found only where the field meets the hills, at Ineu and Siria.

Rana ridibunda (PALLAS, 1771) is a common species in the area in question. It loves the hilly and field low areas. It does not like the dark narrow valleys in the mountains areas. The species has large populations, living in almost every aquatic biotope.

SEVERUS-DANIEL COVACIU-MARCOV ALFRED-ȘTEFAN CICORT-LUCACIU VIOREL LAZĂR NOÉMI SZEIBEL LAVINIA BALAJ

Rana kl. esculenta (LINNAEUS, 1758) is rare in the area, being identified only in 3 places in the contact zone between the field and the hills, at altitudes of 150 m. It lives generally in gutters and ditches, but also in the puddle and swamp areas, generally near brooks. The biotopes and the altitude are similar to those in which the species has been identified previously in Arad district (COVACIU-MARCOV et al., 2005 a, 2006 a).

Rana dalmatina (BONAPARTE, 1839) is a common species the forest areas of the mountain and hilly sides from the lower hydrographic basin of Crisul Alb. However it is very rare in the field – we have only identified it in three places, in the wet areas near some canals, or the few forest islands. The fact that the species is extremely rare is a consequence of the massive forest clear and the field area's draining.

Rana temporaria (LINNAEUS, 1758) is much rarer than the previous one – we have identified it only in two places around the Zarandului Mountains. It lives at altitudes higher than 200 m.

Emys orbicularis (LINNAEUS, 1758) is rare in the region in question, and we have identified it only in four places in the field area. It lives only around Crisul Alb's border zone, in canals, ditches, puddle areas, etc.

Lacerta agilis (LINNAEUS, 1758) is a common species in the region, living not only in the field but also in the high areas.

Lacerta viridis (LAURENTI, 1768) is rarer than the previous one. It only lives in the mountain and hilly areas, 150 m and even higher. It prefers the uneven relief, the bush hilly slopes or the forest skirt.

Podarcis muralis (LAURENTI, 1768) is a very rare species, identified in only one place in the Zarandului Mountains.

Anguis fragilis (LINNAEUS, 1758) is also rare, being exclusively identified in the forest areas in the Zarandului Mountains.

Coronella austriaca (LAURENTI, 1758) lives in a zone similar to the previous one, living in the forest areas of the Zarandului Mountains as well. But, in these places the populations seem to be rather large, as we have met a lot of types killed by the local people or run over by cars.

Elaphe longissima (LAURENTI, 1768) has been identified in a sole place, at Siria. It lives around the western slope of the Zarandului mountains, in direct contact with the field.

Natrix natrix (LINNAEUS, 1758) is the most common snake species in the region under study. It lives not only in the field but also in the high areas, generally in wet areas, near canals or ditches. However, in some cases they are the cars' victims.

Natrix tessellata (LAURENTI, 1768) is spread exclusively in the Zarandului Mountains, along the valleys covering these mountains. Despite the fact this territory is a small one, the number of the types was a large one. A larger population is to be found in the vicinity of the Taut artificial lake. In the proximity of the lake we have noticed a lot of dead bodies run over by cars.

CONCLUSIONS

In the lower hydrographical basin of the Crisul Alb from the district of Arad, we have identified 23 species belonging to the herpetofauna, along with two categories of hybrids between certain amphibian species. We have identified 290 places for the respective species in the 42 places in the region. Among these, 232 places are considered new places for Romania's herpetofauna. The structure of the lower hydrographical basin of Crisul Alb – the district of Arad -, is similar to other structures in this district (GHIRA et al., 2002, COVACIU-MARCOV et al., 2005 a, 2006 a). The hybrid zones between the two *Bombina* species is situated at about 150 m – *Bombina bombina* lives lower and *Bombina variegata* is to be found higher. The same is to be found in the Apuseni Mountains.

The field area in the lower hydrographical basin of Crisul Alb has quite a poor herpetofauna, because of the massive anthropic change, such as forest clearing and draining. Practically, the simplified herpetofauna of the field area is now living in the close vicinity of the rivers, in the narrow space between the breakwaters of the different draining canals, or in the very few forest islands that still exist. A different herpetofauna area is to be noticed in the vicinity of Siria. Here, the direct contact between the field area and the Zarandului Mountains is determining the existence of a great variety of biotopes and therefore a great variety of species. Thus, we may consider that the region in question should be paid a great attention and be given the possibility of becoming a protected area.

AKNOWLEGMENTS

In this way, we want to thank, to thank to the members of Herpetological Club-Oradea, for invaluable help in the field. We also thank to Mihaela Todor (Gr.Sc. "Ch.Laugier" Craiova) for the English translation of this manuscript.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

ANDREI M. D. 2002. Contributions to the knowledge of the herpetofauna of southern Dobruja (Romania). Trav. Mus. natl. Hist. nat. Grigore Antipa. XLIV: 357-373.

BERGER L. 1966. Biometrical studies on the population of water frog from the environs of Poznan. Ann. Zool. 23: 303-324.

BERGER L. 1973. Systematics and hybridization in European green frogs of Rana esculenta Complex. J. Herpetol. 7: 1-10.

BROWN. L. J. 1997. An Evaluation of Some Marking and Trapping Techniques Currently Used in the Study of Anuran Population Dynamics. Journal of Herpetology. **31**(3): 410-419.

- COGĂLNICEANU D. 1997. Practicum de ecologie a Amfibienilor metode și tehnici în studiul ecologiei Amfibienilor. Edit. Universității din București: 1-122.
- COGĂLNICEANU D., AIOANEI F., BOGDAN M. 2000. *Amfibienii din România, Determinator*. Edit. Ars Docendi. Bucureşti: 1 99. COVACIU-MARCOV S. D., GHIRA I., VENCZEL M. 2000. *Contribuții la studiul herpetofaunei din zona Oradea. Nymphaea*. Folia Naturae Bihariae. Oradea **XXVIII:** 143-158.
- COVACIU-MARCOV S. D., TELCEAN I., CUPȘA D., CADLEȚ D., ZSURKA R. 2002. Contribuții la studiul herpetofaunei din regiunea Marghita (jud. Bihor, România). Analele Univ. din Oradea, Fasc. Biol. IX: 47-58.
- COVACIU-MARCOV S. D., SAS I., CUPȘA D., MELEG G., BUD B. 2003 a. Studii herpetologice în regiunea Munților Pădurea Craiului și Plopișului (Județul Bihor). Analele Univ. din Oradea, Fasc. Biol. X: 81-95.
- COVACIU-MARCOV S. D., CUPȘA D., TELCEAN I., SAS I., A. CICORT 2003 b. Contribuții la cunoașterea herpetofaunei din regiunea cursului mediu și inferior al Crișului Negru (Județul Bihor, România). Oltenia. Stud. și Com. Șt. Nat. XIX: 189-194.
- COVACIU-MARCOV S. D., I. TELCEAN, SALA G., SAS I., CICORT. A. 2003c. Contribuții la cunoașterea herpetofaunei regiunii Beiuș, jud. Bihor, România. Nymphaea. Folia naturae Bihariae. XXX: 127-141.
- COVACIU-MARCOV S. D., GHIRA I., SAS I. 2004. Contribuții la studiul Herpetofaunei zonei Oașului (Județul SM, România). Mediul cercetare, protecție și gestiune. 2. Cluj –Napoca: 107-112.
- COVACIU-MARCOV S. D., CICORT-LUCACIU A. ŞT., SAS I., BREDET A. M., BOGDAN H. 2005 a. *Herpetofauna from the basin of Mureş river in Arad county, Romania*. Mediul cercetare, protecție și gestiune. **5**. Cluj –Napoca: 147-152.
- COVACIU-MARCOV S. D., CICORT-LUCACIU A. ŞT., SAS I., ILE R. D. 2005 b. The herpetological fauna of "Culmea Codrului" (Satu Mare county, Romania). Analele Univ. din Craiova. XLVI: 163-168.
- COVACIU-MARCOV S. D., SAS I., KISS A., BOGDAN H., CICORT-LUCACIU A. ŞT. 2006 a. The herpetofauna from the Teuz River hydrographical basin (Arad County, Romania). N. West. J. Zool. 2 (1): 27-38.
- COVACIU-MARCOV S. D., GHIRA I., CICORT-LUCACIU A.-ŞT., SAS I., STRUGARIU A., BOGDAN H. V. 2006b. Contributions to knowledge regarding the geographical distribution of the herpetofauna of Dobrudja, Romania. N. West. J. Zool. 2 (2): 88-125.
- FEY A., FEY C., KUSZÁLIK J., VARGA A. 2001. Munții Apuseni, Harta rutieră și turistică. Edit. Dimap.
- FUHN I. 1960. "Fauna R.P.R.", Amphibia. XIV(I). Edit. Acad. R.P.R. Bucureşti: 1-288.
- FUHN I. & VANCEA ŞT. 1961. "Fauna R.P.R.", Reptilia. XIV(II) Edit. Acad. R.P.R., București. 1-352.
- GASC J. P., CABELA A., CRNOBRNJA-ISAILOVIC J., DOLMEN D., GROSSENBACHER K., HAFFNER P., LESCURE J., MARTENS H., MARTINEZ RICA J. P., MAURIN H., OLIVEIRA M. E., SOFIANIDOU T. S., VEITH M., ZUIDERWIJK A. 1997. *Atlas of Amphibians and Reptiles in Europe*. Societas Europaea Herpetologica & Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle Paris: 1-496.
- GHERGHEL I. & ILE R. D. 2006. Contributions to the distribution of Amphibia, Caudata in Neamţ County, Romania. N. West. J. Zool. 2 (1): 44-46.
- GHIRA I. & MARA G. 2000. Using the allelomorphic feature in identifying two species belonging to genus Bombina (Anura, Discoglossidae) from Transilvania. Studia Univ. Babeş Bolya., Cluj Napoca. XLV: 85-95.
- GHIRA I., VENCZEL M., COVACIU-MARCOV S. D., MARA GY., GHILE P., HARTEL T., TÖRÖK Z., FARKAS L., RÁCZ T., FARKAS Z., BRAD T. 2002. *Mapping of Transylvanian Herpetofauna*. Nymphaea. Folia Naturae Bihariae. Oradea. **XXIX:** 145-203.
- GHIRA I., MARINESCU I. E., DOMȘA C. 2003. *Habitat preferences of different hybrid categories between Bombina bombina* (L.) and Bombina variegata (L.) in Transsylvanian Plain. Stud. și Cerc. Biol. **8**, 3 5, Univ. din Bacău, 211-215.
- GHIURCĂ D., ROȘU S., GHERGHEL I. 2005. *Preliminary data concerning the herpetofauna in Neamț County (Romania)*. Analele Univ. din Oradea. Fasc Biol. **12:** 53-62.
- IFTIME AL. 2005. *Amfibieni și Reptile. In: Cartea Roșie a Vertebratelor din România*, editori: Botnariuc & Tatole. Edit. Acad. Române. București: 1-325.
- LAZĂR V., COVACIU-MARCOV S. D., SAS I., PUSTA C., KOVACS E-H. 2005. *The herpetofauna in the district of Dolj (Romania)*. Analele Științifice ale Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iași, Ser. Biol. Anim., Tom LI: 169-168.
- STRUGARIU AL., SĂHLEAN T.C., VOLOSCIUC-HUTULEAC M.V., PUȘCAȘU C.M. 2006 a. *Preliminary data regarding the distribution of reptilian fauna in Suceava County (Romania)*. N. West. J. Zool. **2** (1): 39 43.
- STRUGARIU AL., GHERGHEL I., VOLOSCIUC-HUTULEAC M.V., SĂHLEAN T.C., SAS I., PUȘCAȘU C.M. 2006 b. *Preliminary data concerning the distribution of amphibian fauna in Suceava County (Romania)*. Analele Univ. din Oradea. Fasc. Biol.: 39-47.
- STUGREN B. 1980. Geographical variation of the fire bellied toad (Bombina bombina (L.)) in the USSR. (Amphibia, Anura, Discoglossidae). Zool. Abh. Mus. tierk. Dresden. 36 (5): 101-115.
- SZYMURA J. M. 1993. Analysis of hybrid zones with Bombina. In Hibrid zones and the evolutionary process (ed R. G. Harrison), pp 261-289. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- TUFESCU V. 1986. Harta R. S. România. Edit. Did. și Pedag. București.