

## FLORA AND VEGETATION OF SÂNANDREI RESERVOIR (TIMIȘ COUNTY), BEFORE AND AFTER DRAINING

NEACȘU Alina, ARSENE G.-Gabriel, STROIA Ciprian, STROIA Marius

**Abstract.** The research performed in the area of Sânanđrei reservoir, in the period 2005-2010, have allowed us to observe aspects of the lake flora and vegetation, before and after draining (spring of 2007). Our study implied the chemical analysis of the water and the analysis of the flora and vegetation. Therefore, we took water samples and after their analysis, based on the obtained results, we established the quality class of the water in the studied reservoir, this being 5<sup>th</sup> quality class, corresponding to a poor water quality. This influences in a negative manner the vegetal biodiversity, the aquatic macrophytes - more sensitive to certain substances - being absent from the lake area. Regarding the flora inventory, we have identified in the area 119 cormophyte species, appertaining to 43 botanical families. 36 of these are aquatic and paludicolous plants, the presence of which was directly influenced by the draining. The analysis of flora per life forms indicates the significant presence of hemicryptophytes and annual terrophytes. From the viewpoint of floristic elements, most species are Eurasian and cosmopolite. As for humidity, most species are xeromesophytes, regarding temperature, mesothermals are predominant, and as for soil reaction, the species are mainly weakly-acid neutrophilic and amphotolerant. The data collected for vegetation allowed us to identify 13 vegetal associations. Following the lake draining, the aquatic flora and vegetation have disappeared. Also some paludicolous associations, which feel the lack of water, have disappeared, have been endangered or have reduced considerably the areas they used to occupy. The evolution tendency of the vegetation is towards woody, pioneering associations, such as those edified by willows, edified associations of ruderal species appearing on the shore. We deem it important to continue observing the vegetation dynamics under various view points (vegetal biodiversity, rhythm, competition among species, spatial dynamics of phytocoenoses etc.).

**Keywords:** water quality, flora, vegetation dynamics, draining.

**Rezumat. Flora și vegetația lacului de acumulare Sânanđrei (județul Timiș), înainte și după desecare.** Cercetările întreprinse în perimetrul lacului de acumulare Sânanđrei, în perioada 2005-2010, ne-au permis să surprindem aspecte din flora și vegetația lacului, înainte și după desecare (primăvara anului 2007). Studiul nostru a presupus analiza chimică a apei și analiza florei și vegetației. În acest sens, am prelevat probe de apă și după analiza acestora, pe baza rezultatelor obținute, am stabilit clasa de calitate în care se încadrează apa din acumulare studiată, aceasta fiind clasa a V-a de calitate, ceea ce corespunde unei ape de calitate proastă. Acest lucru influențează negativ biodiversitatea vegetală, macrofitele acvatice mai sensibile la anumite substanțe lipsind din perimetrul acumulării. În ceea ce privește inventarierea florei, am identificat în perimetrul acumulării, 119 specii de cormofite aparținând la 43 de familii botanice. 36 dintre acestea sunt plante acvatice și palustre a căror prezență a fost afectată direct de desecare. Analiza florei pe categorii de bioforme, indică prezența însemnată a hemicriptofitelor și terofitelor anuale. Sub aspectul geoelementelor, majoritatea speciilor sunt eurasiatice și cosmopolite. Față de umiditate, cele mai multe specii sunt xeromezofite, în ceea ce privește temperatura, predomină mezotermele, iar față de reacția solului, speciile sunt în principal slab-acid neutrofile și amfitolerante. Datele prelevate pentru vegetație, ne-au permis să identificăm 13 asociații vegetale. În urma desecării lacului, flora și vegetația acvatică au dispărut. De asemenea, unele asociații palustre, care resimt lipsa apei, au dispărut, sunt amenințate cu dispariția sau și-au redus considerabil suprafețele ocupate în trecut. Tendința de evoluție a vegetației este către asociații lemnoase, pioniere, precum cele edificate de sălcii, pe mal instalându-se asociații edificate de specii ruderales. Considerăm important de urmărit în continuare, dinamica vegetației sub diverse aspecte (biodiversitate vegetală, ritm, competiția dintre specii, dinamica spațială a fitocenozelor etc.).

**Cuvinte cheie:** calitatea apei, floră, dinamica vegetației, desecare.

### INTRODUCTION

“... in the old days, the land near the Mureș and along the Tisa, from Seghedin to beyond Titel, used to be marshy” (GRISELINI, 1984). Since the 17<sup>th</sup> century in Banat, there have been performed draining works with a view to manage waters for different human uses. Today, in Banat, the natural humid areas have decreased as surface due to this reason, at the same time being founded artificial reservoirs, on considerable surfaces. This is also the case of Sânanđrei reservoir, built on the course of the Valea Lacului rivulet, in 1971, on an area of 50 hectares, at an altitude of 117 m. The lake is managed by a trading company which, before drainage, used to exploit it for fishing and pleasure. The drainage works, performed in the year 2007, have deeply influenced the vegetal carpet, leading to the extinction of the aquatic flora and vegetation and to the scarcity of the paludicolous one, with direct effects upon biodiversity.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Our research has been undergone in the period 2005-2010, in the area of Sânanđrei reservoir. Thus, we had in view establishing the quality of the water in the accumulation mentioned and the study of the flora and vegetation. On collecting the water samples we took into account the recommendations comprised in the norm no. 121/2006. The chemical analysis of the water samples was also performed according to the quality standards comprised in the norm no. 121/2006, in the laboratory of the National Administration “Romanian Waters” Banat Waters Agency-Timisoara, and consisted in establishing the oxygen regime, the nutrients, the pH, suspensions, and total water hardness. The study of

the flora took place in two stages, on the field and in the laboratory. The study on the field consisted in repeated trips in the mentioned area, on which occasion we accomplished the inventory of the species, we collected the botanical material that could not be inventoried on the field, with a view to the analysis and we made annotations concerning certain relevant aspects of the flora that we have noticed. In the laboratory, we set the botanical material collected, on which occasion we established the inventory of the flora, we assigned to each species the category of geoelement, the bioform and the values of the autecological indices for soil humidity (U), area temperature (T), and soil reaction (R), then performing the synecological analysis of the flora. The study of the vegetation was carried out in keeping with the methodology of the Central-European floristic phytocoenological school, based on which the fundamental study unit of the vegetal carpet is the vegetal association. Thus, we performed phytocoenological sampling and, after data processing, subsequent to comparing to the specialized literature, we established the vegetal associations. Then, we drew up the summary of the vegetation and we analyzed the vegetal associations from various viewpoints. For determining the species, we used CIOCĂRLAN (2009) and Flora R.S.R. Marking down of indices was performed by SANDA et al. (1983). For the study of the vegetation we used SANDA et al. (1998) and SANDA (2002).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results of the chemical analysis performed for the water sample collected from Sănanđrei reservoir (Table 1) indicated that the water is of a poor quality, being classified in the 5<sup>th</sup> quality class as the value found in the case of nitrites corresponds to this class. The values of the other parameters correspond to the limits of the classes 1<sup>st</sup> – 4<sup>th</sup>, as it follows: ammonium nitrogen – 4<sup>th</sup> class, nitrates – 2<sup>nd</sup> class, phosphates – 1<sup>st</sup> class, dissolved oxygen – 2<sup>nd</sup> class, permanganate index – 4<sup>th</sup> class, biochemical oxygen consumption – 1<sup>st</sup> class. The pH value was set to 8.5, the suspensions load was reduced, and considering the hardness, it was established that the water is moderately hard. The high value of the nitrogen compounds is explained by the fact that in the area of the reservoir, there used to be located animal farms, where the debris discharge was performed in an uncontrolled manner, and that in the vicinity of the accumulation, there are cereal crops on which chemical fertilization is applied.

Table 1. The results of the chemical analysis performed for the water sample collected from Sănanđrei reservoir (according to the analysis report AT 406 / October 30, 2005).

Tabel 1. Rezultatele analizei chimice efectuată pentru proba de apă prelevată din acumularea Sănanđrei (conform buletinului de analiză AT 406 / 30. 10. 2005).

No.	Analyzed parameters	U/M	Method of analysis	Obtained value	Quality class – limits approved				
					1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>
1.	Ammonium nitrogen (N-NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> )	mg N/l	SR ISO 7150/2	1.48	0.4	0.8	1.2	3.2	> 3.2
2.	Nitrites (N-NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	mg N/l	SR ISO 6777/96	0.45	0.01	0.03	0.06	0.3	> 0.3
3.	Nitrates (N-NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	mg N/l	SR-7890/3-2000	1.81	1	3	5.6	11.2	> 11.2
4.	Phosphates/ Phosphorous (P-PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> )	mg P/l	SR EN 1189-2000	0.01	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.9	> 0.9
5.	Dissolved Oxygen (O <sub>2</sub> )	mg O <sub>2</sub> /l	SR EN 25813-2000	8.87	9	7	5	4	< 4
6.	Permanganate index (CCO-Mn)	mg O <sub>2</sub> /l	SR EN ISO 8467/01	21.2	5	10	20	50	> 50
7.	CBO <sub>5</sub>	mg O <sub>2</sub> /l	SR ISO 5815/89	0.76	3	5	7	20	> 20
8.	pH	-	SR ISO 10523-97	8.55	6.5 – 8.5				
9.	Suspensions	mg/l	STAS 6953-81	61	-				
10.	Total hardness	<sup>0</sup> Ge	STAS 3026-76	16.0	-				

The research carried out on the flora materialized by the inventory of 119 species of cormophytes, 36 of them being represented by aquatic and paludicolous plants (Table 2). The reduced diversity of aquatic macrophytes is closely connected to the quality of the water. Moreover, after performing the drainage works, due to the lack of water, aquatic plants such as *Lemna minor* L., *Polygonum amphibium* L., *Potamogeton crispus* L., *P. natans* L., *P. pectinatus* L., *Ranunculus aquatilis* L., *Spirodela polyrhiza* (L.) SCHLEICHEN, *Bolboschoenus maritimus* (L.) PALLAS have gone extinct. Also the paludicolous species have been affected by the lack of water, such that *Mentha aquatica* L., *Butomus umbellatus* L., *Glyceria maxima* (HARTM.) HOLMBERG., *Phalaris arundinacea* L., *Lysimachia nummularia* L. are absent in the new environmental conditions.

As mentioned at Methods, the flora was analyzed considering several aspects: per categories of life forms, phytogeographical elements and under the aspect of humidity, temperature and soil reaction. Out of the flora analysis, we obtained the following results:

- the predominant life forms are represented by hemicryptophytes (*Symphytum officinale* L., *Sambucus ebulus* L., *Euphorbia cyparissias* L., *Calamagrostis epigeios* (L.) ROTH., *Potentilla reptans* L. etc.) and annual terophytes (*Bidens tripartita* L., *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L., *Xanthium strumarium* L., *Polygonum persicaria* L., *Datura stramonium* L. etc.);

Table 2. Aquatic and paludicolous plants identified in Sânanđrei reservoir.  
Tabel 2. Plante acvatice și palustre identificate în lacul de acumulare Sânanđrei.

No.	Bioform	Goelement	U	Species
1.	H	Eua	4	<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i> L.
2.	Th	Eua	4,5	<i>Bidens tripartita</i> L.
3.	HH	Cosm	6	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> (L.) PALLAS
4.	HH	Eua	6	<i>Butomus umbellatus</i> L.
5.	H	Eua	4	<i>Calystegia sepium</i> (L.) R. BR.
6.	HH	Eua	5	<i>Carex riparia</i> CURTIS
7.	TH	Eua	4	<i>Dipsacus laciniatus</i> L.
8.	Th	Cosm	4	<i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> (L.) BEAUV.
9.	HH	Cosm	5	<i>Glyceria maxima</i> (HARTM.) HOLMBERG.
10.	H	Cosm	4,5	<i>Juncus effusus</i> L.
11.	HH	Cosm	6	<i>Lemna minor</i> L.
12.	HH	Eua	5	<i>Lycopus europaeus</i> L.
13.	Ch	Eur	4	<i>Lysimachia mummularia</i> L.
14.	H	Eua	5	<i>Lysimachia vulgaris</i> L.
15.	H	Cosm	4	<i>Lythrum salicaria</i> L.
16.	HH	Eua	5	<i>Mentha aquatica</i> L.
17.	H	Eua	4,5	<i>Mentha longifolia</i> (L.) HUDSON
18.	HH	Circ	5	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i> L.
19.	HH	Cosm	5	<i>Phragmites australis</i> (CAV.) STEUDEL
20.	G	Cosm	6	<i>Polygonum amphibium</i> L.
21.	Th	Eua	4,5	<i>Polygonum persicaria</i> L.
22.	MM	Eua	4	<i>Populus nigra</i> L.
23.	HH	Cosm	6	<i>Potamogeton crispus</i> L.
24.	HH	Cosm	6	<i>Potamogeton natans</i> L.
25.	HH	Cosm	6	<i>Potamogeton pectinatus</i> L.
26.	HH	Cosm	6	<i>Ranunculus aquatilis</i> L.
27.	H	Eua	4	<i>Ranunculus repens</i> L.
28.	H	Euc	4	<i>Rorippa austriaca</i> (CRANTZ) BESSER
29.	H	Eua	4,5	<i>Rubus caesius</i> L.
30.	H	Eua	4	<i>Rumex crispus</i> L.
31.	MM	Eua	5	<i>Salix alba</i> L.
32.	M	Eua	5	<i>Salix cinerea</i> L.
33.	Ch	Eua	4,5	<i>Solanum dulcamara</i> L.
34.	HH	Cosm	6	<i>Spirodela polyrhiza</i> (L.) SCHLEICHEN
35.	H	Eua	4	<i>Symphytum officinale</i> L.
36.	HH	Cosm	6	<i>Typha angustifolia</i> L.

- considering the goelements, most species are Eurasian (the best represented category in our country's cormoflora): *Lycopus europaeus* L., *Calystegia sepium* (L.) R. BR., *Achillea millefolium* L., *Lactuca serriola* L., *Dipsacus laciniatus* L. etc. and cosmopolite (wide spread species, ubiquitous): *Lemna minor* L., *Phragmites australis* (CAV.) STEUDEL, *Lythrum salicaria* L., *Convolvulus arvensis* L., *Potentilla reptans* L. etc.;

- related to humidity, most species are xero-mesophytes (grow on dry-weakly humid to weakly humid soils): *Echium vulgare* L., *Daucus carota* L., *Thlaspi arvense* L., *Salvia pratensis* L., *Linaria vulgaris* MILLER etc.;

- regarding temperature, the mesothermals are predominant: *Cichorium intybus* L., *Aristolochia clematitis* L., *Matricaria perforata* MÉRAT, *Carex hirta* L., *Glyceria maxima* (HARTM.) HOLMBERG. etc.;

- as for soil reaction, the species are mainly weakly-acid neutrophilic (grow on soils with pH of 6.0 – 6.8): *Rubus caesius* L., *Potamogeton natans* L., *Salix alba* L., *Urtica dioica* L., *Lathyrus tuberosus* L. etc.) and amphotolerant (with wide ecological amplitude concerning soil reaction): *Mentha longifolia* (L.) HUDSON, *Ranunculus repens* L., *Elymus repens* (L.) GOULD., *Typha latifolia* L., *Plantago lanceolata* L. etc.

Related to vegetation, after the centralization and processing of the field data, we have identified 13 vegetal associations, presented in what follows:

The summary of the coenotaxonomical units (mainly, based on SANDA et al., 1998):

Cls. LEMNETEA W. KOCH et TX. 1934

Ord. Lemnetalia W. KOCH et TX. 1954

Al. *Lemnetum minoris* W. KOCH et TX. 1954

1. *Lemnetum minoris* (OBERD. 1957) MÜLLER et GÖRS 1960 (association of duckweed)

Cls. POTAMOGETONETEA PECTINATI R. TX. et PRSG. 1942

Ord. Potamogetonetalia pectinati W. KOCH 1926

Al. *Potamogetion pussili* VOLLMAR em. HEJNÝ 1978

2. *Myriophyllo* – *Potametum* SOÓ 1934, subass. *myriophylletousm spicati* SOÓ 1957 (association of water milfoil and arrowgrass)

Cls. POTAMETEA TX. et PRSG. 1942

Ord. Potametalia W. KOCH 1926

Al. *Nymphaeion* OBERD. 1957 emend. NEUHÄUSL 1959

3. *Polygono – Potametum natantis* SOÓ 1964 = *Polygonetum natantis* SOÓ 1927, subass. *potametosum natantis* SOÓ 1964 (association of arrowgrass with pond smartweed)

Cls. PHRAGMITETEA TX. et PRSG. 1942

Ord. Phragmitetalia W. KOCH 1926 emend. PIGN. 1953

Al. *Phragmition australis* W. KOCH 1926

4. *Scirpo – Phragmitetum* W. KOCH 1926 = *Phragmitetum communis* (ALL. 1922) PIGN. 1953 = *Phragmitetum australis* SCHMALE 1939 = *Scirpo – Phragmitetum austro-orientale* SOÓ 1957 = *Phragmitetum natans* (BORZA 1960) NEDELICU 1967 (willow association)

5. *Typhaetum angustifoliae* PIGNATTI 1953 (association of narrow-leaf cattail)

6. *Typhaetum latifoliae* G. LANG 1973 (association of wide-leaf bulrush)

7. *Glycerietum maximae* HUECK 1931 = *Glycerietum aquaticae* NOWINSKI 1928 (association of manna grass)

8. *Phalaridetum arundinaceae* (HORVATIĆ 1931) LIBBERT 1931

9. *Caricetum ripario – acutiformis* KOBENZA 1930 = *Caricetum ripariae* KNAPP et STOFFER 1962 (association of sedge)

Cls. ATREMISIETEA LOHM., PRSG. et TX. 1950

Ord. Artemisietalia LOHM. et TX. 1947

Al. *Arction lappae* TX. 1937 emend. SISS. 1946

10. *Sambucetum ebuli* (KAISER 1926) FELFÖLDY 1942 (danewort weeds)

Cls. SALICETEA PURPUREAE MORR 1958

Ord. Salicetalia purpureae MORR 1958

Al. *Salicion albae* (SOÓ 1930 n.n.) MÜLLER et GÖRS 1958

11. *Salicetum albae* ISSLER 1924 s.l. = *Salicetum albae – fragilis* ISSLER 1926 EM. SOÓ 1957 = *Salix alba – Polygonum hydropiper* DONIȚĂ et DIHORU, 1961 = *Hydroherbo – Salicetum albae* DONIȚĂ et al., 1966 = *Salici – Populetum* (TX.1931) MEJER DREES 1936 = *Populetum albae* (BR. – BL. 1931) BORZA 1937 = *Saliceto – Populeto – Alnetum* SLAVNIĆ 1952 (association of white willow)

Cls. ALNETEA GLUTINOSAE Br.-Bl. et TX. ex WESTHOFF et al. 1946

Ord. Salicetalia auritae DOING 1962

Al. *Salicion cinereae* MÜLLER TH. et GÖRS ex PASS. 1961

12. *Rubo – Salicetum cinereae* SONASAK 1963 = *Rubo caesii – Salicetum cinereae* RAȚIU et GERGELY (1979) = *Alno – Salicetum cinereae* (KOBENZA 1950) PASS. 1956 (osier brushwood)

Cls. QUERCETEA PUBESCENTI-PETRAEAE (OBERD. 1948) JAKUCS 1060

Ord. Prunetalia TX. 1952

Al. *Prunion spinosae* SOÓ (1930, 1940) 1950

13. *Pruno spinosae – Crataegetum* HEUCK 1931 = *Carpino – Prunetum* TX. 1952, *Rubo caesii – Prunetum spinosae* RAȚIU & GERGELY 1979, *Prunetum moldavicae* DIHORU (1969) 1970, *Crataego – Prunetum dasyphyllae* JURKO 1964, *Crataegetum danubiale* JURKO 1958 (blackthorn)

Among these, the following have not been encountered subsequent to the drainage:

- *Lemnetum minoris* W. KOCH et TX. 1954,

- *Polygono-Potametum natantis* SOÓ 1964, subass. *potametosum natantis* SOÓ 1964,

- *Myriophyllo-Potametum* SOÓ 1934, subass. *myriophylletosum spicati* SOÓ 1957,

- *Typhaetum angustifoliae* PIGNATTI 1953,

- *Typhaetum latifoliae* G. LANG 1973,

- *Glycerietum maximae* HUECK 1931,

- *Phalaridetum arundinaceae* (HORVATIĆ 1931) LIBBERT 1931.



Figure 1. Aspect of the lake vegetation, before and after draining.  
Figura 1. Aspect din vegetația lacului, înainte și după desecare (original).

From those previously presented, it is noticed that the drainage works carried out in the area of Sănandrei reservoir have deep effects upon the aquatic and paludicolous flora and vegetation (Fig. 1). The aquatic flora and vegetation have completely disappeared. The species of pond smartweed, duckweed or arrowgrass, which used to represent the vegetation of aquatic macrophytes, because of the new environmental conditions (lack of water) could not survive. Also, the string course of paludicolous vegetation that used to be set around the lake, at the borderline between water and land, misses today. Even some common associations in the past, such as reed and sedge, that used to occupy considerable surfaces, are now rarely encountered and on insignificant areas, them too feeling the absence of water. The reed appears to continue to exist, though physiognomically there are some modifications. Nevertheless, we have noticed the increase of the areas occupied by the phytocoenoses edified of *Urtica dioica* L., *Cirsium arvense* (L.) SCOP., *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L., *Galium aparine* L., *Kochia scoparia* (L.) SCHRADER, *Sonchus arvensis* L., *Polygonum lapathifolium* L., meaning a heavy ruderalization of the vegetal carpet. On the shore, the edified phytocoenoses of blackthorn, nettle, hemlock and danewort grow luxuriantly. Here we mention again that in the past, in the vicinity of the lake, it used to be animal farms and that waste discharge used to be performed in an uncontrolled manner. This explains the high presence of the above mentioned phytocoenoses, edified by species that grow well on soils rich in nitrogen. The evolution tendency of the vegetation is towards woody, pioneering associations, thus we mention the expansion of *Salix* species growing on the drained area.

### CONCLUSIONS

Sănandrei reservoir was considered among the four largest water accumulations in Timiș County. It sheltered a characteristic flora and vegetation that, following drainage, have been severely affected.

Our research, carried out in the period 2005-2010, present aspects of the flora and vegetation of the lake, before and after the drainage. Thus we inventoried 119 species of plants and 13 vegetal associations.

We observed that the diversity of the aquatic flora and vegetation got reduced and we considered it the effect of the poor water quality. In addition, due to the lake drainage (in the spring of 2007) they disappeared completely. The paludicolous species have been affected as well by the lack of water, they withdrawing very much from their area and concentrating in certain water spots that preserved some humidity. For this reason the original flora and vegetation, which used to depend upon the humid environment, were replaced by other category of plants, mainly ruderal, adapted to the new conditions. On the area that used to be occupied by water, there have developed new plants and phytocoenoses. The reeds, sedge, even red plots that remained until today do not have the physiognomy from the past, the former have considerably reduced their area, only subsisting in some micro-depressions.

We deem it important to continue observing the evolution tendencies of the flora and vegetation, due to the radical modifications of the biotope and the fragmentation of the area of many species and phytocoenoses that used to be frequent before the drainage and that today appear only isolated, in small areas, with a reduced number of individuals.

### REFERENCES

- CIOCÂRLAN V. 2009. *Flora ilustrată a României. Pteridophyta et Spermatophyta*. Edit. Ceres. București: 1-1141.
- GRISELINI F. 1984. *Încercare de istorie politică și naturală a Banatului Timișoarei*. Edit. Facla. Timișoara: 32-87.
- NEACȘU ALINA. 2008. *Research on the biodiversity of flora and vegetation in some accumulation lakes in Timiș county*. Ph.D. Thesis. Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine. Timișoara. Romania: 1-280.
- SANDA V. 2002. *Vademecum ceno-structural privind covorul vegetal din România*. Edit. Vergiliu. București: 13-282.
- SANDA V., POPESCU A., BARABAȘ N. 1998. *Cenotaxonomia și caracterizarea grupărilor vegetale din România*. Edit. „I. Borcea”. Bacău: 59-266.
- SANDA V., POPESCU A., DOLTU M. I., DONIȚĂ N. 1983. *Caracterizarea ecologică și fitocenologică a speciilor spontane din flora României*. Studii și comunicări. Științe naturale. Muzeul Brukenthal. Sibiu. 25 (supliment): 1-316.
- \*\*\*. 1952-1976. *Flora R.S.R. (I-XIII)*. Edit. Academiei R.S.R. București.
- \*\*\*. România. *Ordinul nr. 121/2006 privind clasificarea calității apelor de suprafață în vederea stabilirii stării ecologice a corpurilor de apă*. Monitorul Oficial al României. An. 174 (XVIII) nr. 511 bis/13 iunie 2006. București: 2-92.

Neacșu Alina, Arsene G.- Gabriel, Stroia Ciprian, Stroia Marius  
USAMVB, Timișoara, Botany Departement,  
Calea Aradului 119, Timișoara, Romania  
E-mail: alne76@yahoo.com

Received: April 28, 2010  
Accepted: August 30, 2010