

## PLANT PARASITIC AND FREE-LIVING NEMATODES OF SOME ORCHARDS (PEACH, APPLE) IN THE REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

POIRAS Larisa, IURCU-STRĂISTRARU Elena, BIVOL Alexei,  
POIRAS Nadejda, CERNET Alexandr

**Abstract.** Species diversity of nematode communities of the orchards from two administrative districts (Criuleni, Soroca) of the Republic of Moldova has been studied during last years. Seventy five species of plant parasitic and free-living nematodes were revealed in soil and roots of peach and apple trees. In all studied orchards of fruit trees the non-pathogenic and pathogenic plant parasitic species were predominant (37-58%) followed by bacterivores (15-30%), omnivores-predators (11-27%) and fungivores (8-15%). Among plant parasitic species, the endoparasites *Pratylenchus pratensis*, *P. penetrans*, *P. thornei*, *Ditylenchus dipsaci* and ectoparasites *Rotylenchus robustus*, *Helicotylenchus dihystrera*, *H. multincinctus*, *Paratylenchus hamatus*, *Criconemoides xenoplax* were identified. The maturity Index values varied between 2.2 and 3.02 due to the predominance of plant parasites (cp 3-5) including the vectors of nepoviruses *Longidorus elongates*, *L. euonymus*, *L. macrosoma*, *Xiphinema brevicolle*, *X. dentatum*, *X. rivesi*, *X. viittenezi* and tabro-virus *Trichodorus primitivus*. Most bacterivores were represented by species from the fam. Cephalobidae, Panagrolaimidae and fungivores - fam. Aphelenchidae, Aphelenchoididae.

**Keywords:** nematode community, orchards (peach, apple), abundance, trophic groups, ecological parameters.

**Rezumat. Fitonematode parasite și libere la culturile pomicole (piersici și mere) în Republica Moldova.** Studiul biodiversității comunităților de nematode în agrocezoze pomicole au fost realizate în două raioane administrative (Criuleni, Soroca) al Republicii Moldova în perioada anilor precedenți. S-a constatat o varietate de șaptezeci și cinci de specii de nematode fitoparazite și libere în sol și rizosfera pomilor de măr și piersic. În toate sectoarele pomicole (măr și piersic) investigate ale Republicii Moldova predomină speciile de nematode fitoparazite patogene și nepatogene (37-58%), urmate de speciile bacteriofage (15-30%), omnivore-prădătoare (11-27%) și micofage (8-15%). Semnificație majoră prezintă speciile de nematode fitoparazite cu efect patogen, unde au fost identificați endoparaziții: *Pratylenchus pratensis*, *P. penetrans*, *P. thornei*, *Ditylenchus dipsaci* și ectoparaziții: *Rotylenchus robustus*, *Helicotylenchus dihystrera*, *H. multincinctus*, *Paratylenchus hamatus*, *Criconemoides xenoplax*. Valorile Indexului de Maturitate oscilează de la 2.2-3.02 reprezentată de fitonematode libere și parazite cu ciclul de viață lungă (cp 3-5), inclusiv speciile de nepo-virusuri *Longidorus elongates*, *L. euonymus*, *L. macrosoma*, *Xiphinema brevicolle*, *X. dentatum*, *X. rivesi*, *X. viittenezi*. Nematodele bacteriofage mai frecvent sunt reprezentate de speciile din familiile Cephalobidae, Panagrolaimidae și micofage - familiile Aphelenchidae, Aphelenchoididae.

**Cuvinte cheie:** comunități de fitonematode, livezi (piersic și mere), abundență, grupe trofice, parametri ecologici.

### INTRODUCTION

Horticulture is one of the perspective branches of agriculture in the Republic of Moldova providing fruit harvest. At present time, the plantations of apple cover about 17.5 thousand ha in central and northern regions and peach 7.7 thousand ha in central and southern regions. Mainly the horticultural plantations consist of many varieties, both local and imported from the western and eastern European countries. Sometimes the planting material is supplied to the country by a private companies without the pest control and certificates, which may contribute to the outbreak and spread of various plant parasites and diseases. Agro-climatic conditions of the Republic of Moldova are favourable for growing horticultural crops such as pome and stone fruits, as well as the formation and development of nematode communities including plant-parasitic and free-living species. The ecological and trophic relationship in the system "plant host - parasite - medium" is formed over a long period and forms the specialized population of plant-parasitic nematodes that are typical for fruit crops. The nematode communities of free-living and plant-parasitic species reflect the state of the soil and cultivated plants. When large nematode populations of some dangerous plant-parasitic nematodes feed on a plant root system, they interfere with the ability of the root to take up water, nutrients and transport nutrients causing the restricts of root growth, depression of plants and some losses of the quality and quantity of fruit production (SANTOS et al., 1997).

The important role of protection of fruit crops from plant parasites and their vector-borne diseases is a systematic phytosanitary control on the detection of the most economically dangerous and quarantine species, including some plant-parasitic nematodes vector of nepo- and tabro-viruses (SANTOS et al., 1997; TAYLOR & BROWN, 1997). Early estimates on economic threshold levels ranged from 4 nematodes per 100 cc soil for *Xiphinema index* on grapevine (KANKINA, 1981; ROMANENKO, 1993) to 20-25 specimens of *X. bekeri* per 100 cc soil on raspberry (MCELROY, 1972). We should be aware that most of the nematode diseases in fruit crops do not have specific symptoms or they do not appear immediately. The appearance and spread of nematode diseases is possible at the expansion of the areas under new plantations using lands that have recently cultivated with grapes, fruits or berries, as well as through the sick planting stocks. Nematode feeding also creates the open wounds, which provide entry to a wide variety of plant pathogenic fungi and bacteria. These microbial infections may result in greater losses than the damage from nematodes.

The most dangerous perennial plant parasitic nematodes are vectors of the viral diseases with the symptoms manifested for a long period, when control measures are no longer effective. Some species of plant parasitic nematodes from the genera *Longidorus* and *Xiphinema* are vectors of nepovirus diseases causing serious losses of long-term crops such as fruit trees, berries and grapevines. Viruses may be retained in longidorid bodies from 2.5 to 12-24 months (TAYLOR, BROWN, 1997). The economically threshold of damage for *Longidorus elongatus* on strawberry is 15-20 individuals on 100 cm<sup>3</sup> soil, for *Xiphinema bekeri* is 20-25 individuals on raspberry and *Xiphinema index* - 4 individuals on 100 cm<sup>3</sup> soil (MCELROY, 1972; KANKINA, 1981; ROMANENKO, 1993). Crop productivity can be reduced from 10-30 % to 60 % (in some years); moreover, there may occur a reduction in the quality and quantity of the harvest (SANTOS et al., 1997). Soils testing on presence of virus-vector nematodes of fruit trees has been done by the Republic of Moldova (STEGARESCU, 1972; COEV & POLINKOVSKIY, 1977; POLINKOVSKIY, 1980; POIRAS & CERNET, 2004). The economically important and damaging nematodes of fruit trees belong to the genera *Xiphinema* (dagger nematode) and *Longidorus* (Longidoridae) (needle nematode), *Criconemoides* (Criconematidae) (ring nematode), *Pratylenchus* (Pratylenchidae) (lesion nematode), *Ditylenchus* (Anguinidae) (stem nematode), *Trichodorus* and *Paratrichodorus* (Trichodoridae) (stubby-root nematode) and are widely distributed throughout the world (NYCZEPIR & BECKER, 1998; WALTER et al., 2008). The studies regarding the nematodes associated with fruit trees are focused on different aspects, including their geographical distribution and occurrence (KUMARI, 2004), species diversity (LIŠKOVÁ et al., 2007; NIBLACK & BERNARD, 1985), population development and vertical distribution of *Xiphinema pachtaicum* on grapevine (POIRAS, TODERAS, RUSU, 2004), replant problems (NYCZEPIR & BECKER, 1998), virus transmission (TAYLOR & BROWN, 1997) and control strategies (KLUEPFEL et al., 2002). Plant parasitic nematodes from fam. Longidoridae are ectoparasites and vectors of nepovirus diseases, fam. Tobrilidae are vectors of tabravirus diseases. Furthermore, excessively high populations of *Criconemoides xenoplax* (>250 per 100 cm<sup>3</sup> soil) were associated with trees exhibiting peach decline symptoms (ZEHR et al., 1986; WALTERS et al., 2008).

Species diversity, trophic specialization and distribution of free-living and plant parasitic nematodes were studied on productive fruit orchards (peach and apple) in northern and central regions of the Republic of Moldova analyzing taxonomic-ecological characteristics of nematode communities. It elucidated a classification according to the functioning and diverse adaptation, as well as to the trophic level in the rhizosphere of fruit trees.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nearby 100 hectares of orchards (apple and peach) have been surveyed in the central (r. Criuleni) and northern (r. Sorooca) regions of the Republic of Moldova during last years (photo 1 a-d). At each orchard ten soil samples were collected from at least 10 different trees selected by a zigzag pattern in the soil profiles 0 – 20 cm down to 50 cm in the drip line of each sampled tree and additional samples near trees with symptoms of nepovirus or debilitation (BOAG et al., 1989; SHURTLEFF & AVERRE, 2000). The soil samples were stored into plastic bags in a cooler at 4°C until processed.

Nematodes were extracted from a 100 cm<sup>3</sup> soil by sieving and decanting standard methods of brass screens and modified Baermann funnels and fixed in hot 4% formaldehyde solution at 60<sup>0</sup> C (BEZOOIJEN, 2006); they were counted, transferred to glycerine by the modified method of Seinhorst and prepared for the mass slide collection. About a hundred nematodes from each sample were identified by taxonomic keys (NICKLE, 1991, SANTOS et al., 1997, TAYLOR & BROWN, 1997, SIDDIQI, 2000, PERRY & MOENS, 2006, ANDRASSY, 2007) and arranged by nematode classification based on the SSU DNA data (DE LEY & BLAXTER, 2002). The plant nematodes were classified by trophic groups (YEATS et al., 1991) such as bacterivores (Ba), fungivores (Fu), omnivores (Om), predators (Pr) and plant parasites (PP). The analysis of phytonematode communities has been done by functional guilds including trophic groups and life strategies from colonizer to persistence (*cp* value from *r*-strategy to *K*-strategy) and we calculated the Maturity Index  $MI = \sum v(i) \times f(i) / n$ , where  $v(i)$  = colonizer-persister (c-p) value assigned to family,  $f(i)$  – frequency of family  $i$  in sample,  $n$  = total number of individuals in a sample (BONGERS & BONGER, 1998; FERRIS et al., 2001).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Seventy five species of plant parasitic and free-living nematodes were found in rhizosphere and roots of peach and apple trees, in the orchards of the districts Criuleni and Sorooca of R. Moldova (Table 1). The abundance of nematodes and their spatial distribution were predominant mostly in the level ground litter and around 30 - 50 cm trunk of tree; at the soil profiles 0 - 20 cm till 50 - 70 cm, there were 870 - 2640 ind./100 cm<sup>3</sup> soil in the orchards of apple trees and 570 - 1740 ind./100 cm<sup>3</sup> soil in peach trees (Table 1). The qualitative-quantitative analysis of nematode community shows that their numbers are lower at the base of a tree trunk than at a distance from it, where the soil is highly penetrated by young roots creating favourable conditions for the development of communities of plant-parasitic and free-living nematodes including the saprobiotic species. Thus, close to the base of a tree trunk the soil is more populated by free-living species and non-pathogenic plant parasitic nematodes. However, in the area of intensive growth of roots, there were more frequently observed plant-parasitic nematodes, including endo- and ectoparasitic species and vectors of viral diseases, also diverse free-living forms.

Table 1. Species diversity, population density, trophic groups and some community indices of plant parasitic and free-living nematodes of peach and apple productive trees in some districts of the Republic of Moldova.

Characteristics	Orchards			
	district Criuleni		District Soroca	
	Peach	Apple	Peach	Apple
Number of species in orchards	51	58	43	47
<b>Trophic groups (%):</b>	10	12	5	8
1 - plant parasites (PP) include:	4	7	2	6
-algal, moss and epidermal cells feeders	8	3	12	12
-semi-endoparasite	22	26	21	26
-migratory endoparasite	10	6	4	4
-ectoparasite including:				
a- vector nepovirus	2	-	-	-
b- tobra-virus				
1-bacterivores	22	21	30	20
3 - fungivores (Fu)	14	8	7	8
4 -omnivore-predators (Om-Pr)	20	23	23	20
Maturity index (MI)	2.6	3.0	2.3	2.4
Number ind./100 cm <sup>3</sup> soil (depth of 0-20 till 50 cm)	780 - 1740	1150 - 2640	570-1330	970 - 1970

The ratio of trophic groups of the nematode communities of surveyed orchards has revealed the predominance of plant parasitic species for peach (50% species of total) and apple (47%) trees, followed by bacterivores (20 - 21%), omnivores (15 - 16%), fungivores (8 - 12%) and predators (3 - 8%) (Figs. 1 a, c). Thirty five species of plant parasitic nematodes of peach and apple trees are grouped by 5 trophic subgroups: algal, moss and epidermal cells feeders (Tylenchidae, Anguinidae, Ephyadophoridae, Psilenchidae), semi-endoparasites (Hoplolaimidae), migratory endoparasites (Pratylenchidae, Anguinidae), ectoparasites (Telotylenchidae, Criconematidae and Paratylenchidae), ectoparasites vector of nepoviruses (Longidoridae, Xiphinematidae) and tobnavirus (Trichodoridae) (Fig. 1). Among plant parasitic species the ectoparasites dominated by species diversity (53 – 55%), followed by algal and moss feeders (18 - 26%), semi-endoparasites (11 – 18%) and migratory endoparasites (8 – 11%) in peach and apple orchards (Figs. 1 b, c).




Figure 1. Ratio (%) of trophic groups of free-living and plant parasitic species of nematodes in peach (A), subgroups of plant parasitic species (C) and ratio of trophic groups of free-living and plant parasitic species of nematodes in peach trees (B), subgroups of plant parasitic species (D).

## DISCUSSIONS

The area of intensive growth of tree roots is preferred by plant parasitic nematode species such as endoparasites *Pratylenchus brachyuris*, *P. penetrans*, *P. pratensis* (damage threshold >30 specimens per 100 cm<sup>3</sup> soil), semi-endoparasites *Helicotylenchus dihystera*, *H. vulgaris*, *Rotylenchus agnetis*, *R. robustus* (> 300 specimens per 100 cm<sup>3</sup> soil) and *Criconemoides insignis*, *C. zavadskyi*, *C. xenoplax*, *Xenocriconemella macrodora*, *Ditylenchus dipsaci* (ectoparasites). Furthermore, high populations of *Criconemoides xenoplax* (>250 per 100 cm<sup>3</sup> soil) are associated with tree exhibiting peach decline symptoms, causing in tertiary root destruction, stunted growth and general weakness limiting growth and productivity of plants (WALTER et al., 2008). *Pratylenchus* spp. (threshold > 30 specimens per 100 cm<sup>3</sup> soil) are often migratory endoparasites and feed within the cortex of the root; they were recovered from all orchards. Therefore, densities could be underestimated since only soil samples were examined (MAGGENTI, 1981). Among the ectoparasite species, there were revealed some vectors of nepoviruses from fam. Longidoridae such as *Longidorus elongatus*, *L. macrosoma*, *L. euonymus* (vectors TomRSV - tomato ringspot virus), *Xiphinema brevicolle* (TomRSV - tomato ringspot virus), *X. rivesi* (TomRSV - tomato ringspot virus, CRLV - cherry rasp leaf virus) (TAYLOR & BROWN, 1997; SANTOS et al., 1997; WALTER et al., 200; POIRAS et al., 2012).

The values MI (2.3 - 3.0) is higher enough for the monoculture of perennial crops (apple and peach) as most of the species of nematodes with long life span *cp* 3-5 were accumulated as well as the species with *cp* 1 were rare and represented by *Protorhabditis filiformis*, *Diplogaster rivalis*, *Mesorhabditis inarimensis* and *Panagrolaimus regius*. Bacterivores (20 - 30% of total nematode communities of peach and apple orchards) and fungivores (7 - 14%) were represented mostly by species with *cp* 2 belonging to the families Cephalobidae, Plectidae and Aphelenchidae, Aphelenchoididae. However, the most common species were *Aphelenchus avenae* and *Aphelenchoides parietinus*. Omnivores-predators (20 - 23%) were represented by species with *cp* 4 - 5 belonging to the families Qudsianematidae (*Eudorylaimus*, *Crassolabium*, *Epidorylaimus*, *Dorydorella*, *Ecumenicus*), Nordiidae (*Pungentus marietani*), Dorylaimidae (*Mesodorylaimus*, *Laimydorus*), Aporcelaimidae (*Aporcelaimellus*, *Paraxonchium*) and Mononchidae (*Clarcus papillatus*, *Prionchulus muscorum*) Mylonchulidae (*Mylonchulus brachyuris*, *M. curvicaudatus*).

Table 2. Taxonomic analysis of nematode communities of plant parasitic and free-living nematodes of some stone fruit orchards (peach and apple) in the Republic of Moldova.

Species of nematodes	Feeding type	Functional guild	Peach orchards	Apple orchards
<b>PP</b>				
<i>Tylenchus davainei</i>	algal, moss	PP2	+	+
<i>Aglenchus agricola</i>	epidermal cells	PP2	+	+
<i>Coslenchus costatus</i>	epidermal cells	PP2	-	+
<i>Filenchus filiformis</i>	epidermal cells	PP2	+	+
<i>F. orbis</i>	epidermal cells	PP2	-	+
<i>Boleodorus thylactus</i>	epidermal cells	PP2	+	+
<i>Psilenchus hilarulus</i>	epidermal cell, root hair	PP2	+	+
<i>Helicotylenchus dihystera</i>	semi-endoparasite	PP2	+	+
<i>H. multincinctus</i>	semi-endoparasite	PP2	-	+
<i>Rotylenchus agnetis</i>	semi-endoparasite	PP3	-	+
<i>R. robustus</i>	semi-endoparasite	PP3	+	+
<i>Pratylenchoides leiocauda</i>	migratory endoparasite	PP3	+	-
<i>Pratylenchus penetrans</i>	migratory endoparasite	PP3	+	+
<i>P. pratensis</i>	migratory endoparasite	PP3	+	+
<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i>	migratory endoparasite	PP3	+	-
<i>Ecphyadophora leptosoma</i>	ectoparasite	PP2	+	-
<i>Merlinius brevidens</i>	ectoparasite	PP2	-	+
<i>Bitylenchus dubius</i>	ectoparasite	PP2	+	+
<i>Tylenchorynchus cylindricus</i>	ectoparasite	PP2	+	+
<i>Paratylenchus nanus</i>	ectoparasite	PP2	-	+
<i>Criconemoides insignis</i>	ectoparasite	PP3	+	-
<i>C. zavadskii</i>	ectoparasite	PP3	-	+
<i>C. xenoplax</i>	ectoparasite	PP3	+	-
<i>Mesocriconema rusticum</i>	ectoparasite	PP3	+	+
<i>Xenocriconemella macrodora</i>	ectoparasite	PP3	-	+
<i>Longidorella parva</i>	ectoparasite	PP4	+	+
<i>Trichodorus primitivus</i>	ectoparasite, vector tobra-virus	PP4	+	-
<i>Longidorus elongatus</i>	ectoparasite, vector nepovirus	PP5	+	+
<i>L. euonymus</i>	ectoparasite, vector nepovirus	PP5	-	+
<i>L. macrosoma</i>	ectoparasite, vector nepovirus	PP5	+	+
<i>Xiphinema brevicolle</i>	ectoparasite, vector nepovirus	PP5	-	+
<i>X. pachtaicum</i>	ectoparasite	PP5	+	+
<i>X. rivesi</i>	ectoparasite, vector nepovirus	PP5	-	+
<i>X. vuittenezi</i>	ectoparasite, vector nepovirus	PP5	+	-
<b>Fu</b>				
<i>Aphelenchus avenae</i>	fungivore	Fu2	+	+

<i>Paraphelenchus amblyurus</i>	fungivore	Fu2	+	-
<i>Aphelenchoides bicaudatus</i>	fungivore	Fu2	+	-
<i>A. parietinus</i>	fungivore	Fu2	+	+
<i>A. saprophilus</i>	fungivore	Fu2	+	+
<i>Deladenus durus</i>	fungivore	Fu2	+	-
<i>Ditylenchus miceliophagus</i>	fungivore	Fu2	+	+
<b>Ba</b>				
<i>Protorhabditis filiformis</i>	bacterivore	Ba1	-	+
<i>Diplogaster rivalis</i>	bacterivore	Ba1	+	-
<i>Mesorhabditis inarimensis</i>	bacterivore	Ba1	+	-
<i>Panagrolaimus regius</i>	bacterivore	Ba1	-	+
<i>Eucephalobus mucronatus</i>	bacterivore	Ba2	+	+
<i>E. oxyuroides</i>	bacterivore	Ba2	-	+
<i>Heterocephalobus elongatus</i>	bacterivore	Ba2	+	+
<i>H. latus</i>	bacterivore	Ba2	-	+
<i>Acrobeles ciliatus</i>	bacterivore	Ba2	+	-
<i>Acroboloides buetschlii</i>	bacterivore	Ba2	+	+
<i>Chiloplacus lentus</i>	bacterivore	Ba2	+	+
<i>Ch. propinquus</i>	bacterivore	Ba2	+	-
<i>Plectus parietinus</i>	bacterivore	Ba2	+	+
<i>P. rizophilus</i>	bacterivore	Ba2	-	+
<i>Anaplectus granulatus</i>	bacterivore	Ba2	+	+
<i>Wilsonema agrarum</i>	bacterivore	Ba2	-	+
<i>Paramphidellus dolichurus</i>	bacterivore	Ba4	+	-
<b>Om-Pr</b>				
<i>Eudorylaimus acuticauda</i>	omnivore	Om4	-	+
<i>E. carteri</i>	omnivore	Om4	+	+
<i>Crassolabium ettersbergensis</i>	omnivore	Om4	+	+
<i>Epidorylaimus humilior</i>	omnivore	Om4	+	-
<i>Dorydorella pratensis</i>	omnivore	Om4	+	-
<i>Pungentus maretani</i>	omnivore	Om4	-	+
<i>Ecumenicus monohystera</i>	omnivore	Om5	+	+
<i>Mesodorylaimus bastiani</i>	omnivore	Om5	-	+
<i>Laimydorus filiformis</i>	omnivore	Om5	+	-
<i>Aporcelaimellus krygeri</i>	omnivore	Om5	+	-
<i>A. obscurus</i>	omnivore	Om5	-	+
<i>A. obtusicaudatus</i>	omnivore	Om5	+	+
<i>Paraxonchium laetificans</i>	omnivore	Om5	-	+
<i>Clarkus papillatus</i>	predator	Pr4	+	+
<i>Prionchulus muscorum</i>	predator	Pr4	-	+
<i>Mylonchulus brachyuris</i>	predator	Pr4	+	+
<i>M. curvicaudatus</i>	predator	Pr4	-	+

## CONCLUSIONS

Species diversity of nematode communities of the orchards from two administrative districts of the Republic of Moldova has been studied during last years. Seventy five species of plant parasitic and free-living nematodes were revealed in soil and roots of peach and apple trees. In all studied orchards of fruit trees the non-pathogenic and pathogenic plant parasitic species were predominant (47 - 50%) followed by bacterivores (20 - 21%), omnivore-carnivores (18 - 24%) and fungivores (8 - 12%). Among plant parasitic species with pathogenic effects, there were identified the endoparasites *Pratylenchus pratensis*, *P. penetrans*, *P. thornei*, *Ditylenchus dipsaci*, ectoparasites *Rotylenchus robustus*, *Helicotylenchus dihystra*, *H. multicinctus*, *Paratylenchus hamatus*, *Criconemoides xenoplax*. The maturity Index values were varied between 2.2 and 3.02 due to the predominance of plant parasites (cp 3-5) including the vectors of nepoviruses *Longidorus elongatus*, *L. euonymus*, *L. macrosoma*, *Xiphinema brevicolle*, *X. dentatum*, *X. rivesi*, *X. vuittenezi* and tobravirus *Trichodorus primitivus*. Most bacterivores were represented by species from the fam. Cephalobidae, Panagrolaimidae and fungivores - fam. Aphelenchidae, Aphelenchoididae.

## REFERENCES

- ANDRASSY I. 2007. *Free-living nematodes of Hungary*. Hungarian Natural History Museum. Budapest. 475 pp.
- BEZOOIJEN J. V. 2006. *Methods and techniques for nematology*. Publisher, Wageningen University. Netherlands. 112 pp.
- BOAG B., BROWN D. J. F., BANCK A. S. G. 1989. *Optimizing sampling strategies for nematode-transmitted viruses and their vectors*. Bulletin OEPP/EPPO Bulletin. **19**: 491-499.
- BONGERS T. & BONGERS M. 1998. *Functional diversity of nematodes*. Applied Soil Ecology. Elsevier. **10**: 239-251.
- COEV G. V. & POLINKOVSKIY A. I. 1977. *Virus vectors of fruit tree and berry crops and grapevine in Moldova and elaboration of the methods of protection contra them*. In: Free-living, soil and phyto-nematodes. Leningrad: 35-40.

- DE LEY P. & BLAXTER M. 2002. *Systematic position and phylogeny*. Chapter In: Lee D. L. (Ed.) The biology of nematodes. UK. Taylor & Francis. London: 1-30.
- FERRIS H., BONGERS T., GOEDE R. G. M. 2001. *A framework for soil food web diagnostics: Extension of the nematode faunal analysis concept*. Applied Soil Ecology. Elsevier. **18**: 13-29.
- KANKINA V. K. 1981. *Plant parasitic nematodes of genus Longidorus MICOLETSKY, 1922 on grape and plant protection with them*. PhD dissertation. Dushanbe. 208 pp.
- KLUEPFEL D. A., NYCZEPIR J. E., LAWRENCE J. E., WECHTER W. P., LEVERENTZ B. 2002. *Biological control of the phytoparasitic nematode Mesocriconema xenoplax on peach trees*. Journal of Nematology. **34**: 120-123.
- KUMARI S. 2004. *The occurrence of Xiphinema vuittenezi, X. pachtaicum and Longidorus leptcephalus (Nematoda: Dorylaimida) in Central Czech Republic*. Helminthology. **41**: 103-108.
- LIŠKOVÁ M., SASANELLI N., D'ADDABBO T. 2007. *Some notes on the occurrence of plant parasitic nematodes on fruit trees in Slovakia*. Plant Protect. Sci. **43**: 26-32.
- MAGGENTI A. R. 1981. *General Nematology. Plant Parasitism*. Springer-Verlag, New York. **6**: 158-218.
- MCELROY F.D. 1972. *Nematodes of tree fruits and small fruits*. In: J. M. Webster, ed. Economic nematology. New York. Academic Press: 335-376.
- NYCZEPIR A. P. & BECKER J. O. 1998. *Fruit and citrus trees*. In: Plant and Nematode Interactions. K. R.: 637-684.
- NIBLACK T. L. & BERNARD E. C. 1985. *Plant-parasitic nematode communities in dogwood, maple and peach nurseries in Tennessee*. Journal of Nematology. **17**(2): 132-139.
- NICKLE W. R. (Ed.) 1991. *Manual of agricultural nematology*. Marcel Dekker. Inc. New York. 1035 pp.
- PERRY R. N. & MOENS M. 2006. *Plant nematology*. CAB International. Oxfordshire UK. Cambridge USA. 438 pp.
- POIRAS L. N. & CERNET A. M. 2004. *Soil testing on presence of virus-vector nematodes of fruit tree crops*. Researches in Horticulture. **3**: 197-202.
- POIRAS L. N. TODERAS I., RUSU V. 2004. *Observation on population development and vertical distribution of Xiphinema pachtaicum on grapevine*. Analele științifice ale Universității de Stat din Moldova. Seria „Științe chimico-biologice”. Chișinău: 138-142.
- POIRAS L. N. 2012. *Species diversity and distribution of free-living and plant parasitic nematodes from order Dorylaimida (Nematoda) in different habitats of R. Moldova*. Oltenia. Studii și Comunicări. Științele Naturii. Muzeul Olteniei. Craiova. Romania. **28**(2): 35-42.
- POLINKOVSKY A. I. 1980. *Study of role of nematodes in transmission of main virus diseases of grapevine in Moldova*. In: Virus and micoplasme diseases of fruit tree, berry crops and grapevine. Chișinău: 112-221.
- ROMANENKO N. D. 1993. *Plant nematodes-virus-vectors from fam. Longidoridae*. Moscow, “Nauka”: 284 pp.
- SANTOS M. S. N. DE A., ABRANTES I. M. DE O., BROWN D. J. F., LEMOS R. M. 1997. *An introduction to virus vector nematodes and their associated viruses*. IAV Portugal. 513 pp.
- SIDDIQI M. T. 2000. *Tylenchida. Parasites of plants and insects*. CABI Publishing. 833 pp.
- SHURTLEFF M. C. & AVERRE C. W. 2000. *Diagnosing plant diseases caused by nematodes*. ASP Press St. Paul. MN. 187 pp.
- STEGARESCU O. P. 1972. *Distribution of species of the family Longidoridae in Moldavia*. Nematod. Diseases of agricultures and plant protection. USSR. Moscow: 213-215.
- TAYLOR, C. E. & BROWN D. J. F. 1997. *Nematode vectors of plant viruses*. CAB International. 277 pp.
- ZEHR E. I., LEWIS S. A., BONNER M. J. 1986. *Some herbaceous hosts of the ring nematode (Criconebella xenoplax)*. 1986. Plant Disease. **70**(1): 1066-1069.
- WALTERS S. A., BOND J. P., RUSSELL J. B., TAYLOR B. H., HANDOO Z. A. 2008. *Incedence and influence of plant-parasitic nematodes in Southern Illinoispeach orchards*. Nematropica. **38**(1): 63-74.
- YEATES G. W., BONGERS R. G., GOEDE R. G. M., FRECKMAN D. W., GEORGIEVA S. S. 1993. *Feeding habits in soil nematode families and genera-an outline for soil ecologists*. Journal of Nematology (SON Executive Board). **25**(3): 315-331.

**Poiras Larisa, Iurcu-Straistraru Elena, Bivol Alexei**  
Institute of Zoology, Academy of Science of Moldova,  
Academiei Str. 1, 2028, Chișinău, Republic of Moldova.  
E-mail: poiras@gmail.com; iurcuelena@mail.ru

**Poiras Nadejda**  
Ghent University, K.L. Ledeganckstraat 35, 9000 Gent, Belgium.  
E-mail: poiras@gmail.com

**Cernet Alexandr**  
Research Institute of Horticulture,  
Academy of Sciences of Moldova.  
Chișinău, Republic of Moldova.  
E-mail: cernetsa@rambler.ru

**Iurcu-Straistraru Elena**  
State University of Tiraspol, str. Iablochkin, Chișinău,  
Republic of Moldova.  
E-mail: iurcuelena@mail.ru

Received: March 21, 2013  
Accepted: July 24, 2013